

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION**

**JAMES WILLIAMS, CHRISTI  
WILLIAMS, AND SHELBY  
WILLIAMS**

*Plaintiffs*

**v.**

**BLT LOGISTICS, INC. AND  
GURMINDER SINGH BAHY**

*Defendants*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**Civil Action No. 5:15-cv-00836**

**JURY TRIAL REQUESTED**

**PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**

**TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:**

NOW COME, James Williams, Christi Williams, and Shelby Williams (“Plaintiffs”), and complain of BLT Logistics, Inc. and Gurminder Singh Bahy (together, “Defendants”) and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

**I.  
PARTIES**

1. Plaintiffs are individuals who are citizens of the State of Texas.
2. Defendant BLT Logistics, Inc., is a California corporation doing business in the State of Texas and has been served with process. No further service is required at this time.
3. Defendant Gurminder Singh Bahy (“Bahy”), is an individual residing in Elk Grove, Sacramento County, California and has been served with process. No further service is required at this time.

**II.**  
**JURISDICTION & VENUE**

4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to U.S.C. § 1391 because the accident which forms the basis of this lawsuit occurred within this District.

5. The claims herein are brought against Defendants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332 for money damages as compensation for loss of property and personal injuries that were caused by the negligent and wrongful acts and omissions of Defendant and Defendant's employee. The amount in controversy is over \$75,000.00, excluding interest and costs. There is complete diversity in that Plaintiffs are citizens of Texas and Defendants are either citizens of the state of California or a business incorporated in California and with its principal place of business located in California.

6. Plaintiffs request a trial by jury.

**III.**  
**FACTS**

7. On October 5, 2014, Plaintiffs were traveling east on Bandera Road in San Antonio, Texas in a black 2009 Toyota Camry. As Plaintiffs reached the intersection of Bandera Road and Texas State Highway Loop 1604, Plaintiffs made a left-hand turn onto Loop 1604 and were struck by a tractor-trailer driven by Gurminder Singh Bahy ("Defendant Bahy"). At the time of the collision, Defendant Bahy was also making a left-hand turn in the inside lane adjacent to Plaintiffs, when he failed to maintain a single lane of travel and struck Plaintiffs' vehicle. At all times relevant, Defendant Bahy was operating the tractor-trailer while in the course and scope of employment with BLT Logistics, Inc. ("Defendant BLT Logistics"). Moreover, Defendant Bahy was operating the vehicle with the permission and/or consent of Defendant BLT Logistics, and

the tractor-trailer was owned and operated by Defendant BLT Logistics. As a result of this collision, Plaintiffs sustained severe and permanent injuries to their bodies, as more fully set forth below.

**IV.**  
**COUNT I – NEGLIGENCE AND NEGLIGENCE PER SE**

8. The collision made the basis of this suit and the resulting injuries and damages to Plaintiffs were proximately and directly caused by the negligent conduct of the Defendants.

9. Defendant Bahy operated the tractor-trailer in a negligent manner and violated the duty which he owed the Plaintiffs to exercise ordinary care in the operation of the tractor-trailer in one or more of the following respects:

- a. in driving his vehicle at a rate of speed greater than that which an ordinary person would have driven under the same or similar circumstances, in violation of Texas Transportation Code §545.351;
- b. in failing to timely apply the brakes of his vehicle in order to avoid the collision in question;
- c. in failing to turn his vehicle in an effort to avoid the collision in question;
- d. in failing to drive defensively to avoid the collision;
- e. in failing to make safe decisions while driving;
- f. in failing to blow his horn to warn of imminent collision;
- g. in failing to maintain a single lane; and
- h. in driving his vehicle in willful or wanton disregard for the safety of persons and/or property, including Plaintiffs, in violation of Texas Transportation Code §545.401.

10. Defendant Bahy's conduct also constitutes *negligence per se*, as a result of violations of the above provisions of the Texas Transportation Code.

**V.**

**COUNT II – RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR**

11. Defendant BLT Logistics is liable under the doctrine of *respondeat superior* in that Defendant Bahy was operating the tractor-trailer while in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant BLT Logistics and/or with Defendant BLT Logistics' permission and/or consent.

**VI.**

**COUNT III – NEGLIGENCE, HIRING, RETENTION, TRAINING AND ENTRUSTMENT**

12. Additionally, Defendant BLT Logistics was negligent in one or more of the following respects:

- a. Negligent hiring of Defendant Bahy ;
- b. Negligent entrustment of a vehicle to Defendant Bahy ;
- c. Negligence in failing to ensure adequate driver qualifications;
- d. Negligent training and supervision of Defendant Bahy; and
- e. Negligent retention of Defendant Bahy.

**VII.**

**COUNT VIII – GROSS NEGLIGENCE**

13. Plaintiffs would further show that the Defendants' negligent conduct was more than momentary thoughtlessness or inadvertence. Rather, it involved an extreme degree of risk, considering the probability and magnitude of the potential harm to the Plaintiffs. Defendants had actual, subjective awareness of the risk involved but, nevertheless, proceeded with conscious indifference to the rights, safety, or welfare of the Plaintiffs, as well as others similarly situated.

14. The acts and/or omissions on the part of the Defendants constitute malice and/or gross negligence as that term is defined in §41.001(7) and §41.001(11) of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code, in that said conduct was heedless and reckless, constituting an extreme degree of risk, considering the probability and magnitude of the potential harm to others, and Defendants were aware of the risk but, nevertheless, proceeded with conscious indifference to the rights, safety, and welfare of others, including the Plaintiffs.

15. The above acts and/or omissions, whether taken singularly or in any combination, constitute negligence, gross negligence, and malice and were the proximate cause of the occurrence in question and the resulting injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiffs.

### **VIII.** **DAMAGES**

16. As a direct and proximate result of the collision and the negligent conduct of the Defendants, Plaintiff James Williams suffered severe and permanent bodily injuries to his back, as well as to his body generally. The injuries have had a serious effect on the Plaintiff's health and well-being. These specific injuries and their ill effects have, in turn, caused his physical and mental condition to deteriorate generally and the specific injuries and ill effects have and will, in reasonable probability, cause him to suffer consequences and ill effects of this deterioration throughout his body into the future. The Plaintiff has also suffered great physical and mental pain, suffering and anguish and in all reasonable probability will continue to suffer in this manner into the future, if not for the balance of his natural life.

17. Additionally, as a direct and proximate result of the occurrence made the basis of this lawsuit, Plaintiff James Williams was caused to incur the following damages:

- a. Reasonable medical care and expenses in the past. Plaintiff incurred these expenses for the necessary care and treatment of the injuries resulting from the accident complained of herein and such charges are reasonable and were usual and customary charges for such services in the county in which they were incurred;
- b. Reasonable and necessary medical care and expenses, which will in all reasonable probability be incurred in the future;
- c. Physical pain and suffering in the past;
- d. Physical pain and suffering, which will in all reasonable probability be suffered in the future;
- e. Physical impairment and disability in the past;
- f. Physical impairment and disability which will in all reasonable probability be suffered in the future;
- g. Mental anguish in the past;
- h. Mental anguish which will in all reasonable probability be suffered in the future;
- i. Physical disfigurement in the past, which will in all reasonable probability be suffered in the future.
- j. Lost wages in the past, present and future; and
- k. Loss of earning capacity, which will in all reasonable probability be incurred in the future.

18. As a direct and proximate result of the collision and the negligent conduct of the Defendants, Plaintiff Christi Williams suffered severe and permanent bodily injuries, including but not limited to her back, right shoulder, neck, and head, as well as to other parts of her body generally. The injuries have had a serious effect on the Plaintiff's health and well-being. These specific injuries and their ill effects have in turn caused her physical and mental condition to deteriorate generally and the specific injuries and ill effects have and will, in reasonable

probability, cause her to suffer consequences and ill effects of this deterioration throughout her body into the future, if not for the balance of her natural life. This Plaintiff has also suffered great physical and mental pain, suffering, and anguish and in reasonable probability will continue to suffer in this manner into the future, if not for the balance of her natural life.

19. Additionally, as a direct and proximate result of the occurrence made the basis of this lawsuit, Plaintiff Christi Williams was caused to incur the following damages:

- a. Reasonable medical care and expenses in the past. Plaintiff incurred these expenses for the necessary care and treatment of the injuries resulting from the accident complained of herein and such charges are reasonable and were usual and customary charges for such services in the county in which they were incurred;
- b. Reasonable and necessary medical care and expenses, which will in all reasonable probability be incurred in the future;
- c. Physical pain and suffering in the past;
- d. Physical pain and suffering, which will in all reasonable probability be suffered in the future;
- e. Physical impairment and disability in the past;
- f. Physical impairment and disability, which will in all reasonable probability be suffered in the future;
- g. Mental anguish in the past;
- h. Mental anguish, which will in all reasonable probability be suffered in the future;
- i. Physical disfigurement in the past, which will in all reasonable probability be suffered in the future.
- j. Lost wages in the past, present, and future; and
- k. Loss of earning capacity, which will in all reasonable probability be incurred in the future.

20. As a direct and proximate result of the collision and the negligent conduct of the Defendants, Plaintiff Shelby Williams suffered severe and permanent bodily injuries to her back, shoulders, chest, and head, as well as to her body generally. The injuries have had a serious effect on the Plaintiff's health and well-being. These specific injuries and their ill effects have, in turn, caused her physical and mental condition to deteriorate generally and the specific injuries and ill effects have and will, in reasonable probability, cause her to suffer consequences and ill effects of this deterioration throughout her body into the future, if not for the balance of her natural life. The Plaintiff has also suffered great physical and mental pain, suffering and anguish and in reasonable probability, will continue to suffer in this manner in the future, if not for the balance of her natural life.

21. Additionally, as a direct and proximate result of the occurrence made the basis of this lawsuit, Plaintiff Shelby Williams was caused to incur the following damages:

- a. Reasonable medical care and expenses in the past. Plaintiff incurred these expenses for the necessary care and treatment of the injuries resulting from the accident complained of herein and such charges are reasonable and were usual and customary charges for such services in the county in which they were incurred;
- b. Reasonable and necessary medical care and expenses, which will, in all reasonable probability be incurred in the future;
- c. Physical pain and suffering in the past;
- d. Physical pain and suffering, which will in all reasonable probability be suffered in the future;
- e. Physical impairment and disability in the past;
- f. Physical impairment and disability, which will in all reasonable probability be suffered in the future;



- g. Mental anguish in the past;
- h. Mental anguish, which will in all reasonable probability be suffered in the future;
- i. Physical disfigurement in the past, which will in all reasonable probability be suffered in the future.

22. As a result, the damages sought by Plaintiffs are in excess of one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00).

**IX.**  
**INTEREST**

23. Plaintiffs seek pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law.

**X.**  
**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

24. Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury. Plaintiffs acknowledge payment of the required jury fee.

**XI.**  
**PRAYER**

Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Defendants be cited to appear and answer herein, and that upon final hearing of this cause, judgment be entered for the Plaintiffs against Defendants, jointly and severally, for actual compensatory damages and exemplary damages, in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this Court, together with pre-judgment interest (from the date of injury through the date of judgment) at the maximum rate allowed by law, post-judgment interest at the legal rate, costs of court, and such other and further relief to which the Plaintiffs may be entitled at law or in equity.

Respectfully Submitted,

THE LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS J. HENRY  
521 Starr Street  
Corpus Christi, Texas 78401  
Tel. (361) 985-0600  
Fax. (361) 985-0601

By: /s/Dale Hicks  
Thomas J. Henry  
State Bar No. 09484210  
Alan Dale Hicks  
State Bar No. 09575430  
\*Email: dhicks-svc@thomasjhenrylaw.com  
**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF**

\*Service by email to this email address only.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served upon all counsel of record in accordance with the rules on this 4th day of January, 2016, as follows:

**VIA FASCMILE: (888) 255-0132**

Castagna Scott, L.L.P.  
Lynn S. Castagna  
Stephen P. Bega  
Steven B. Loomis  
1120 S. Capital of Texas Highway  
Building 2, Suite 270  
Austin, Texas 78746

/s/ Dale Hicks

Dale Hicks